

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/681,481	10/08/2003	Paul A. Farrar	1303.112US1	7468
21100	7590 12/11/2007 N I LINDRERG & WOE	EXAMINER		
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			WATSON, JOY L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1792		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/11/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/681,481	FARRAR, PAUL A.	FARRAR, PAUL A.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Joy Watson	1792		

	Joy Watson	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 26 November 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDI	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comprocessing time periods: 	n the same day as filing a N owing replies: (1) an amendn otice of Appeal (with appeal	otice of Appeal. To avoid ab nent, affidavit, or other evide fee) in compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date or	f the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN).	g date of the final rejection. THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILE	омт иінтім ç
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of t atutory period for reply originally s	he fee. The appropriate extension et in the final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41	.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 2. M. The prepared amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing	a brief will not be entered	hoosuso
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (sow);	ee NOTE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			the issues for
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of I	Non-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:) 🔲 will be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 2, 5, 7, 9-12, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-25, 41, 42,</u>			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3,4,6,8,13,15,19	<u>,21,26-29 and 45</u> .		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	t hafara ay an tha data af fil	ing a Matica of Annaal will n	at he entered
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	nd sufficient reasons why the	affidavit or other evidence	s necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections unde	r appeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims	after entry is below or attac	ched.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered be <u>See attached.</u> 	ut does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>	

Application/Control Number:

10/681,481

Art Unit: 1792

The amendment has not been entered because it would require further consideration with respect to the halogenated hydrocarbon carrier fluid. The claims presented for the final office action indicate that "a carrier fluid" reads on a carrier fluid having some other ingredients. The amended claims after final raises the issue whether the carrier fluid comprises only of halogenated hydrocarbons. The amendment would require further consideration to determine the scope of the proposed claims and to determine whether prior art reads on a carrier fluid comprising only of halogenated hydrocarbons.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see page 9 second paragraph, filed November 26, 2007, with respect to the clarity of the surface of the substrate have been fully considered and are persuasive. The 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejection has been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments filed November 26, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the clarity of the definition carrier fluids, the amendment to the claims was not entered; therefore the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph stands.

Page 3

Application/Control Number:

10/681,481 Art Unit: 1792

In response to applicant's argument that the breadth of the claims should not be equated with indefiniteness with respect to semiconductor surface,

It is unclear whether the carrier fluid is consisting of or comprising of halogenated hydrocarbons with respect to applicant's specification that teaches "chlorocarbons or chlorofluorocarbons may be used as a carrier fluid" (p. 10 lines 10-12).

In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

It is noted that the final office action dated July 24, 2007 does not contain any 35 USC 102 (b) rejections. The 35 USC 102(b) rejections of Claims 1, 2, 5, 7, 9-12, 14, 16-18, 20, 22-24, 41,42,46 from the office action dated January 22, 2007 is most due to applicant's amendment.

MICHAEL CLEXEMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER